Cape Town Statement Focus Track agenda

Background paper available for download at https://osf.io/bf286/

Aim of the CT statement:

- Show why inequity and unfair practices in research collaborations and contexts is a research integrity (RI) matter
- Identify key values or principles or action guides that will address the issue of equity and fairness throughout the life cycle of the research

Focus Track 1. 90 mins. On site and virtual

Chairpersons on site: Sandra Alba, Gowri Gopalkrishna

- Overview of process so far and background statement; proposed outline for Cape Town Statement (Lyn Horn 15-20 mins presentation)
- Short overview of Diversity, Equity, Inclusivity, using experiences from pre-conference photo-voice workshop to stimulate discussions (Gowri Gopalkrishna 15 mins)
- Breakaway Session: Values for this statement. Choose most important and why?
  - 30 mins discussion at round tables at back of plenary hall
  - 30 mins feedback from rapporteur at each table.

Virtual Facilitators: Fenneke Blom, Christa van Zyl, Eleni Flack-Davison

Virtual attendees to this session will participate in a virtual-only session that will be facilitated and recorded.

Focus Track 2: 90 Mins. On site: World café methodology.

Chairpersons: Lyn Horn and Retha Visagie

Virtual: Link to google jam-boards that can be used to post ideas for the duration of the conference. These will be made available via the conference App.

For onsite attendees, we will have one question and one host at each table. The host will stay at the same table. Participants will split up and move to a new table every 10 mins for the first 50 mins. You will not be able to get to all tables, but this doesn’t matter. The last 40 mins will be devoted to a quick report back to the group of emerging themes, by the host of each table.

Please see:
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YG6ibcY7w
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YrTKD8NpApY

Questions (one for each table, host names in brackets)

1. What is the link between unfair and inequitable research practices and research integrity?
2. What should institutions do to strengthen fairness, equity and diversity at research team/project level (particularly to address ‘Grand Challenges’)?
3. What should institutions do to address inequity in research support systems of down-stream partners? (Seeiso Koali)

4. What should funders do to address research equity issues that impact RI? (Maléne Fouche)

5. What should journal and publishers do to address research equity issues that impact RI? (Tope Olomola)

6. What should researchers and ‘Pis’ from both HICs and LMICs do to address research equity issues that impact RI? (Francis Kombe)

7. What open science practices can undermine fairness and equity in research and what can be done to address this? (Sandra Alba)